



CAREC PUBLIC SECTOR MANAGEMENT COURSE
Tashkent, Uzbekistan

15-Nov-10

PROGRAMME EVALUATION STATISTICS

What the rating mean					
Not Attained	Somewhat Attained	Attained	Mostly Attained	Fully Attained	No Response
1	2	3	4	5	N

PART 1 - COURSE LEARNING OBJECTIVES									
Course Learning Objectives	Ratings						Total Participants	Total Rating	Average Rating
	1	2	3	4	5	N			
• 1. The programme aims to provide government officials with updated practical knowledge and skills in public sector management and finance.	0	0	3	8	14	4	29	25	4.44
• 2. Participants of the course will be exposed to modern tools and best practices that will strengthen their work within government institutions and enhance accountability, transparency, and efficiency in the use of public resources.	0	1	3	6	14	5	29	24	4.38
• 3. Knowledge strengthening of effective public sector management based on strategic planning and effective operational implementation.	0	0	3	8	14	4	29	25	4.44
• 4. Establishment of regional and international network during the programme.	0	1	6	6	10	6	29	23	4.09
Overall Average									4.34

Please indicate other comments or suggestions

- Information delivered at maximum level with actual and concrete facts on implementation of PPP projects in international practice.
- I really liked lecture by the Professor Araral and learned many new things.
- It would be better to learn about practical recommendations especially for Uzbek PPP
- PPP is very important for CA.
- Use of latest Uzbek statistics if possible.
- Lecture was very interesting.

PART 2 - KEY LEARNING POINTS AND INSIGHTS

Please provide us with your feedback.

1) What did you find useful in this programme?

• Programme is very important and very interesting.
• Very useful suggestions in the field of privatization
• Strategic issues of PPP financing and perspectives
• Different steps of realising PPP projects
• How to handle contract signing and where to invest money
• The way of expanding international relations through PPP
• Central Asian experience
• Had lots' of useful theoretical knowledge
• Learned a lot about PPP.
• Evaluation method of relations between the public and private sector.
• International Experience
• To explore foundations of PPP and its possible effectiveness, and its usefulness for our country.
• PPP is necessary for country's development (2).
• Relations between the public and private entities.

2) How might you use what you have gained from this programme in your work?

• At work.
• For professional activities
• For contracts
• Discussions

- I deal with businesses and it is indeed very useful for my work.
- For project realisation.

3) Please list your 3 most significant learning/ insights gained from the course.

- PPP risks (6) and important issues of it; PPP's negative and positive aspects
- Problem solving during the PPP projects implementation between the State and the public sector.
- Need to think about all the aspects during the contract development (2) and make all the actions open, learned also that PPP helps to our country's
- Sharing the international experience of advanced countries, risk analysis, PPP effectiveness
- Expansion of international PPP cooperation level, competition between the countries, use of resources
- Central Asian PPP situation; Risk Analysis and Issues of sponsorship
- Main principles and perspectives of PPP
- PPP's effect on society's development
- Learning about the market and risk analysis and functions of state profit
- PPP financing
- Financial sources, fast growth of economy, quality improvement while implementing PPP projects

4) What topics/ areas would you want more coverage? Less coverage?

More Coverage
• Agricultural sector
• International experience in PPP (2) and national uniqueness of certain countries;
• Legal sphere
• Telecommunication
• PPP models more in worldwide transportation spheres
• More discussions
•

Less Coverage
• Education
•

5) Any other suggestions to improve the course?

- To prolong the course for several more days (2)
- Legal aspects, knowledge and education
- More information about Singaporean, British and Japanese education
- It would have been better if latest data on Uzbek PPP were included as Uzbekistan is on higher level now.
- Expand the participants and the lecturers of the course
- Prolong for few hours at least.
- More of this type of seminars please

PART 3 - SESSIONS AND PRESENTERS

Sessions	Ratings						Total Participants	Total Rating	Average Rating
	1	2	3	4	5	N			
• 15 November, Monday, Morning and Afternoon Sessions Public Private Partnership	0	0	3	6	16	4	29	25	4.52
• Overall Course	0	0	3	8	14	4	29	25	4.44
Overall Average									4.48

Professor Eduardo Araral	Ratings						Total Participants	Total Rating	Average Rating
	1	2	3	4	5	N			
• The Instructor facilitated learning and stimulated further interest in the topic.	0	0	3	3	19	4	29	25	4.64
• Easy to understand.	0	0	2	4	19	4	29	25	4.68
• Made the learning participatory	0	0	2	4	19	4	29	25	4.68
• Provided good examples and learning materials (power points, readings, teaching notes)	0	0	2	2	20	5	29	24	4.75
• Addressed issues raised by participants	0	0	2	3	20	4	29	25	4.72
Overall Average									4.69

Please explain your rating and add any comments or suggestions to the instructor.

- Excellent!
- Professor delivered a good lecture, uses real world examples I liked it a lot and high level of knowledge.
- Thank you very much. Very interesting course.
- Very clear and useful for my future career
- Professor has given concrete examples about recent economic development providing facts and explanations.

PART 4 - INTERPRETERS

Ms. Elena Avanesova	Ratings						Total Participants	Total Rating	Average Rating
	1	2	3	4	5	N			
• Easy to understand	0	1	3	5	11	9	29	20	4.30
• Spoke with enthusiasm	0	1	3	4	12	9	29	20	4.35
• Gave clear interpretation	0	1	4	3	12	9	29	20	4.30

Please explain your rating and add any comments or suggestions to the interpreter.

Ms. Adelya Davidova	Ratings						Total Participants	Total Rating	Average Rating
	1	2	3	4	5	N			
• Easy to understand	0	1	3	4	12	9	29	20	4.35
• Spoke with enthusiasm	0	1	3	3	13	9	29	20	4.40
• Gave clear interpretation	0	1	4	2	14	8	29	21	4.38
Overall Average									4.38

Please explain your rating and add any comments or suggestions to the interpreter.

•

PART 5 - PROGRAMME MATERIALS									
Programme Materials	Ratings						Total Participants	Total Rating	Average Rating
	1	2	3	4	5	N			
• Relevance	0	0	4	4	17	4	29	25	4.52
• Organization	0	0	4	4	17	4	29	25	4.52
• Overall Rating	0	0	3	4	18	4	29	25	4.60
Overall Average									4.55

Comments or suggestions on programme materials:

- Excellent

PART 6 - PROGRAMME ADMINISTRATION									
Programme Organization	Ratings						Total Participants	Total Rating	Average Rating
	1	2	3	4	5	N			
• Staff Support	0	0	2	4	19	4	29	25	4.68
• Venue	0	0	2	2	21	4	29	25	4.76
• Food	0	1	2	2	20	4	29	25	4.64
• Equipment	0	0	3	2	20	4	29	25	4.68
• Overall Rating	0	0	3	2	20	4	29	25	4.68
Overall Average									4.69

Comments or suggestions on programme organization:

- Everything was organized at high level. Thank you very much!
- Of course would love to hear lectures on public management
- Excellent!
- We would like to thank for organizing this seminar.