

Next Phase Capacity Development and Sector Research Activities under CAREC Institute

For consultation with CAREC ESCC
in Bishkek, Kyrgyz Republic
ADB CIPAR Team
23 September 2010



CAREC Institute Performance Assessment Review (CIPAR) -An Overview-

CI Performance Assessment


Activity-level Performance Assessment

- Relevance and efficiency of
each activity

"Broader Aspects"-level Assessment

- Emerging needs to CI
- Pros and cons of "virtual" entity
- Possibility of diversification of
funding sources,
- Systemic issues, etc.

Recommendations



Next Phase CI

A Work Plan for
2012-2014

A revised/updated
CI Prospectus

CAREC Institute Performance Assessment Report (CIPAR)

-Viewpoints of Assessment-

- Activity-level assessment:
 - **Relevance**, efficiency, effectiveness and **sustainability**
- “Broader aspects”-level assessment:
 - Emerging needs
 - **Interface with sector coordination committees**
 - Interface with and among organizations/networks
 - Administrative process and structure
 - Sustainability/diversification of funding sources, etc.

CAREC Institute Performance Assessment Report (CIPAR)

- Common Themes discussed in SRWs/FGDs -

- Guidelines on 3 activity areas to maximize CAREC Institute "brand":
 - Capacity-building/training: less theory and more practical cases
 - **Research: better coordination among research institute, sector committees, governments, and CI**
 - Outreach: raise the profile of the CAREC Institute
- Differing conditions across countries
 - Adequate scoping in terms of country conditions and needs
 - Balance between national and regional undertakings
- More systematic and integrated CI cycle
 - Better integration: among the countries, **sector coordinating committees and CI**
 - Work Plan, Program design, etc.
 - Activities set and programmed for at least a year

CAREC Institute Performance Assessment Report (CIPAR)


- Common Themes Discussed (continuation) -

- Enhanced institutional mechanism
 - **Better coordination with sector committees**
 - More engagement of private sector
 - **Quick response mechanisms that ensure reasonable flexibility in responding to ad hoc requests from governments, sectors**
- Better interface with international/local institutions
 - Seeking synergies and avoiding duplication of activities
 - Integration of international best practice/know-how with regional sensibilities (through local institutes)
- Feedback and Monitoring for better results
- Timely dissemination


CAREC Institute Performance Assessment Report (CIPAR) -Consultation with ESCC-

- Consultation with ESCC:
 - How to improve interface between sector coordinating committees and CI;
- Consultation points:
 - Towards better interface with sector coordinating committees:
 - Improving consultation process in research activities;
 - In formulating capacity development/research work plan.
 - Other suggestions related to better interface with sector coordinating committees:

A Suggestive Flow of CI Research Activities



A Suggestive Annual Capacity Building Work Plan Formulation Timeline Flow



We welcome your further comments and suggestions for CIPAR.

Please send them to ttamaki@adb.org

Thank you

