Central Asia Regional Economic Cooperation Program Disaster Risk **Engagement Meeting**

Session 8: Disaster Relief Bond

Islamabad, Pakistan July 2023



















Catastrophe Bonds and Disaster Relief Bonds



What is a Catastrophe Bond (Cat Bond)?

- A cat bond is a way to transfer insurance risk to the capital markets, it is NOT a debt obligation for the covered entity (i.e. sponsor)
- Climate and Disaster Risk is transferred typically through a Special Purpose Vehicle (SPV) which provides insurance to the sponsor in return for an annual premium
- The SPV is capitalised by a bond where the capital is at risk: if a predefined disaster occurs over the term of the bond, there is a pay-out
- Investors receive an enhanced coupon equivalent to the premium in addition to a rate matching the SPV's bond proceeds in a defined "risk-free" investment
- The SPV is wound up at the end of the bond period (typically 3 years) or at bond exhaustion
- The World Bank's Capital at Risk Notes Program platform, used for Sovereign cat bonds, replaces the SPV with its own balance sheet
- Governments enter into a re/insurance transaction, or more commonly an equivalent derivative contract, a cat swap, with the World Bank, the World Bank hedges its risk with the bond issuance

What is a Disaster Relief Bond (DRB)?

- A DRB builds on a cat bond but explicitly linked into efficient, integrated disaster risk reduction (DRR), disaster risk management (DRM) and efficient disaster risk response
- The DRB uses the ADB's balance sheet to replace the SPV of a standard cat bond, similar to the WB sovereign cat bond structure
- Loss payments may be explicitly targeted to address the needs of vulnerable communities with agreed disaster response plans, attracting donor funding with that focus
- Complements other ex-ante and ex-post products and services, providing a truly integrated suite of DRR and DRF solutions
- Solutions are tailored to the needs of CAREC member countries, with potential donor support for linked DRM and DRR projects
- A new donor friendly instrument, attractive to ESG and climate-impact bond investors, which drives more competitive pricing
- Supports the financing of projects that promote SDGs and the Paris Agreement





2

Sovereign Catastrophe Bond Pay-Outs



MEXICO

Issuer: World Bank IBRD Date of issue: Aug 2017 Perils covered: Earthquakes and named storms Size: US \$360m total (US \$150m earthquake / US \$210m storms) Annual Premium¹: 4.5% Trigger type: Cat-in-Grid Parametric

Pay-out: US \$150m (100%) of the earthquake bond following a magnitude 8.1 earthquake in September 2017.

 ¹ Transacted as part of an insurance chain protecting disaster fund FONDEN, with the World Bank acting as ultimate reinsurer
² Transacted as a cat swap with the World Bank, the "fixed amount" of the swap being equivalent to annual premium
³ Disast act of the Desirie Alliance transaction

³ Placed as part of the Pacific Alliance transaction

PERU³

Issuer: World Bank IBRD Date of issue: Feb 2018 Perils covered: Earthquakes Size: US \$200m Annual Premium²: 6.0% Trigger type: Cat-in-Grid Parametric

Pay-out: US \$60m (30%) triggered by a magnitude 8.0 earthquake in May 2019.

PHILIPPINES

Issuer: World Bank IBRD Date of issue: Nov 2019 Perils covered: Earthquakes and tropical cyclones Size: US \$225m total (US \$75m EQ / US \$150m TC) Annual Premium²: 5.50% EQ 5.65% TC Trigger type: Modelled Loss Parametric

Pay-out: US \$52.5m (35%) of the tropical cyclone tranche after super typhoon Rai (locally known as Odette) breached the parametric trigger for wind in late 2021.



A Catalyst for a CAREC Regional Facility



- The proposed DRB serves as a pilot for a future CAREC Risk Facility (CRF), a roadmap to implementation has been elaborated under TA9878.
- A DRB can be set up relatively quickly, and so offer the ability to bridge the protection gap in CAREC countries in the shorter- to medium-term whilst the creation of a CRF is a longer-term goal for regional cooperation.
- Countries around the world have collaborated on regional risk financing, including in the Caribbean and Central America, in South America, in Africa, in the Pacific, and in Southeast Asia. The CRF can have several functions:





Disaster Relief Bond Proposal



Addressing a Real Need

- This TA has evidenced funding gaps for both severe events and insufficient funding for average annually occurring events for most CAREC countries
 - The difference between the estimated annual average losses from earthquake and flood risk and the existing ex-ante financing tools in CAREC countries is estimated to be as much as US \$1.9 billion
- Further engagement is required to formulate Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR) and Financing (DRF) strategies with each country

The Proposal

- An ADB-funded pilot Disaster Relief Bond (DRB) for all CAREC countries demonstrating its benefit and mechanism
- The DRB provides identical financial coverage for each country

Catalyst for Broader DRR and DRF Engagement

- To be a beneficiary, countries will need to commit to engage in a broader DRR/DRF process where all other forms of financial support will be considered (e.g., PBLs, CDFs, etc.)
- A complementary country specific bond (or insurance placement) tailored to the specific needs of member countries can follow



Protection Gap Assessments completed as part of this TA quantify the difference between the estimated losses from earthquake and flood risk and the existing ex-ante financing tools in CAREC countries



DRB Design Considerations Affect Expected Loss and, therefore, Cost



- What size of event does the bond respond to? The more frequently the bond is designed to pay, the more it will cost
- Format of index Continuous or Step? A step function will be cheaper BUT it will generally pay-out lower amounts than a bond with a continuous function
- Event Payment Amounts. The higher the bond pay-out amounts (country and/or bond limits), the higher the cost
- How likely will a country get full payment and/or the bond to exhaust? The more likely a full pay-out (country and/or bond limits), the more it will cost
- Hazards covered. A simple one hazard (e.g., earthquake) bond is likely cheaper than a multi-hazard (earthquake + flood) bond and may allow faster issuance
- Bond structure. A single bond covering multiple countries and hazards allows scope to benefit from diversification, and so lower cost per country

Example of a Continuous vs Step Bond Pay-out Function 16 14 Bond Recovery Amount (USD milions) 3 01 7 2 1 in 50 1 in 0 1 in 100 1 in 150 1 in 200 1 in 250 1 in 300 1 in 350 1 in 400 1 in 450 1 in 500 3-vear Recovery Probabilit —Continuous —Step

The chart illustrates annual pay-outs for two bonds, one step and the other continuous, both with a minimum payment of \$2.5m for a 1 in 50 event, and with a maximum bond limit of \$15m paying in full for a 1 in 500 event. On average, the step bond pays ~19% less for this example and should be cheaper.



Insurance Linked Security (ILS) Pricing



12-month risk multiple increases Q1 2023 over Q1 2022

Chile Earthquake 5.0 2023 (CAR131) Mexico Earthquake 4.5 01 2022 01 2023 -----(FONDEN 2020-A) Mexico Earthquake 4.0 (CAR 118-Pacific Alliance) Chile Earthquake 2018 3.5 Mexico Atlantic Hurricane (CAR 116-Pacific Alliance) (FONDEN 2020-C) Jamaica Hurricane Multiple 3.0 Risk Multiple (CAR 130) Mexico Atlantic Hurricane **Global Pandemic** (CAR 114-FONDEN) (CAR 111-PEF) Mexico Earthquake Philippines Typhoon Mexico Pacific (FONDEN 2020-B) (CAR 124) Risk Hurricance 2.0 **Global Pandemic** (FONDEN 2020-D) (CAR 112-PEF) Columbia Earthquake 1.5 (CAR 117-Pacific Alliance) Mexico Earthquake Philippines Earthquake 1.0 (CAR 119-Pacific (CAR 123) Mexico Pacific Alliance) Peru Earthquake Mexico Earthquake 0.5 Hurricane (CAR 113-FONDEN) (CAR 120-Pacific Alliance) (CAR 115-FONDEN) 0% 1% 2% 4% 0.0 0% 1% 2% 3% 5% 9% 10% 4% 7% 8% Expected Loss as % of Bond Limit Source: Artemis Expected Loss as % of Bond Limit Source: Artemis

Sovereign Cat Bonds – multi-year, price trends ignored

- The risk multiple rises as the expected loss as a percentage of bond limit reduces, i.e. more remote catastrophe bonds are more expensive (see top left graph)
- Catastrophe bond costs have recently risen (see top right graph), the two most recent bonds, Chile CAR131 and Jamaica CAR 130 are well above the best fit line reflecting current high pricing (purple circles, top left graph)



Illustrative DRB Placement and Cost



Coverage and Cost

- A regional bond will be cheaper for countries (and donors) than with separate issuances, benefiting from economies of scale and, if correctly structured, diversification
- The ILS market pricing is fluid, all pricing will need to be market tested at the time of the transaction

Structure

- Several structures for a potential DRB, including single-hazard and multi-hazard bonds across multiple tranches using both stepped and continuous functions, have been tested (see Annex for details).
- The graph and table below shows an illustrative 3-Year Bond for Earthquake and Flood which covers 10 countries

Cover per Country and Hazard (US\$) For 1 in 100 hazard event For 1 in 250 hazard event For 1 in 500 hazard event	3,000,000 10,000,000 15,000,000					
Overall Bond Limit (US\$)	57,500,500	5 30				
Annual Premium Equivalent (US\$)	4,250,000	20				
Per Country Premium per Hazard (US\$)	212,500	8 10				
Annual Premium Rate (per Country and Hazard)	1.42%	20 0 2 20 1 in 0 1 in 50 1 in 100 1 in 150 1 in 200 1 in 250 1 in 300 1 in 350 1 in 400 1 in 450 1 in 500				
Annual Premium	7.39%	3-year Recovery Probability				

Why Does a DRB Work for CAREC Member Countries?

Integrating Disaster Risk Management, Reduction, Financing and Response Planning





wtwco.com

Links Disaster Risk Finance (DRF), Disaster Risk Management (DRM) and Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR) A DRB is designed explicitly to complement and encourage DRM and DRR, attractive to potential donors, who may subsidise costs of the DRB and support technical assistance for complementary DRM and DRR projects.

Enables Integrated Approach to Create Custom-Designed Solutions to meet CAREC Country Needs Complementarity with existing financing modalities provided by the ADB and others; for example climate policybased lending (PBL), contingent disaster financing (CDF) and emergency assistance loan and grants.

Donor Attractive

Donors will appreciate linkages to DRM and DRR strategies. Pay-outs may also be explicitly targeted, in full or in part, to vulnerable populations, accessing donor funds expressly created for this purpose.

Competitively Priced

A DRB is a new form of instrument likely to be attractive to investors, particularly those looking for climate-impact and ESG investment opportunities, coupled with the effective financial structure, pricing should be attractive.





Appendix: Additional Information and Context





Mechanism and Structuring





- An opportunity to leverage a greater potential funding amount by mobilising private sector financing, which can be rapidly deployed on the occurrence of a qualifying event
- Issuing a DRB via the ADB's Global Medium-Term Notes (GMTN) program could with some modification* allow for direct DRB issuance and brings several technical, operational and financial benefits
- It is proposed that the ADB and other donors support premium payment on behalf of the countries
- The Risk Transfer Agreement will detail country-specific plans outlining the usage of potential pay-outs as well as a commitment from participating countries to invest in DRR and climate change adaptation interventions

*We understand that the ADB's GMTN program is not currently ready to issue a DRB; in order to issue a DRB an annex will need to set up. This annex would be modest and relatively short and so is feasible, but will need OGC's involvement and external council will need to be sought. There will be costs associated with any change to the GMTN, which should be considered/factored in.



Pricing of Proposed DRB Transaction



- The ILS market pricing is fluid, all pricing will need to be market tested at the time of the transaction.
 - Most ILS transactions are issued by insurers transferring their peak accumulation zones to the capital market.
 - Sovereign bonds trade at lower multiples as they are diversifying for insurance investors.
 - Many sovereign bond investors are re-insurers or catastrophe funds that operate in both markets.
- A close relationship is observed between ILS price curves and a reinsurance pricing method using standard deviation (SD).
 - A multiple of the SD of modelled losses is added to the expected loss for a sample of potential coverages for CAREC countries as a proxy for capital cost.
 - An SD load of 80% exactly matches the pricing of the March 2023 Chilean bond.
 - The 80% SD load curve sits lower than the most recent Artemis curve, i.e. cheaper and sits between the Artemis first quarter curves for 2023 and 2022 both in quantum and shape.





DRB Design Considerations



Two tranches are issued

- First: a relatively small stepped pay-out for a more likely event
- Second: larger pay-outs using stepped or continuous function for larger, less frequent events
- Investors can participate in either or both tranches dependent upon their risk appetite



Both tranches cover all countries

- This allows an overall bond limit to be applied less than the sum of coverage for each country
- This drives lower risk multiples and so lower cost but with a small risk (under 0.1%) cover may exhaust



Three options are considered

- The first two options have a step bond and a higher continuous bond attaching at different levels
- A third option uses a step trigger for both bonds to compare effectiveness and pricing



Single hazard (earthquake) and multi-hazard (flood and earthquake) are considered

- A flood and earthquake bond offers greatest diversification benefit and theoretically relatively lower pricing
- But more work is required to design and model appropriate flood triggers, delaying issuance
- A single hazard earthquake bond is near ready to go (from a modelling perspective) and its purity will be welcomed by some investors



Option 1: Earthquake and Flood - Terms

3-year total bond limit: \$57.5m (Tranche 1: \$12.5m, Tranche 2: \$45m)

Attachment /detachment per country, year and hazard

Tranche 1

Туре **Event Attachment Event Payment**

Tranche 2

Type **Event Attachment** Minimum Event Payment Event Detachment Maximum Event Payment Continuous 1 in 150 \$2.5m 1 in 500 \$15m

Single Step

1 in 100

\$2.5m



50

0

100

150

200

250

-Tranche 2 (Continuous)

300

350



450

400



500



Option 1: Earthquake and Flood - Recoveries

ADB CAREC

3-year total bond limit: \$57.5m (Tranche 1: \$12.5m, Tranche 2: \$45m)

Results over 3 year bond term

	Tranche 1	Tranche 2	Total
Bond Limit	12,500,000	45,000,000	57,500,000
Expected Loss	1,499,250	4,489,815	5,989,065
Attachment Probability	44.1%	38.4%	
Expected Loss as Percentage of Bond Limit	12.0%	10.0%	10.4%
Estimated Risk Margin Mulitplier	1.93	2.10	2.06
Risk Margin	2,897,621	9,432,187	12,329,807





Option 2: Earthquake and Flood - Terms



3-year total bond limit: \$60m (Tranche 1: \$12.5m, Tranche 2: \$47.5m)





-Tranche 2 (Continuous)

Tranche 1: Single Hazard Annual Recovery by Return Period

wtw

Option 2: Earthquake and Flood - Recoveries

3-year total bond limit: \$60m (Tranche 1: \$12.5m, Tranche 2: \$47.5m)



Results over 3 year bond term

	Tranche 1	Tranche 2	Total
Bond Limit	12,500,000	47,500,000	60,000,000
Expected Loss	2,398,450	5,226,331	7,624,781
Attachment Probability	68.6%	44.1%	
Expected Loss as Percentage of Bond Limit	19.2%	11.0%	12.7%
Estimated Risk Margin Mulitplier	1.56	2.01	1.87
Risk Margin	3,746,800	10,503,502	14,250,301





Option 3: Earthquake and Flood - Terms

3-year total bond limit: \$57.5m (Tranche 1: \$12.5m, Tranche 2: \$45m)

Attachment /detachment per country, year and hazard

Step3

1 in 500

\$15m

250

Tranche 1

Туре	Single Step	
Event Attachment	1 in 50	
Event Payment	\$2m	
Tranche 2		
Туре	Multi-step	
	Step1	Step2
Event Attachment	1 in 100	1 in 250
Event Payment	\$3m	\$10m







Option 3: Earthquake and Flood - Recoveries

ADB CAREC

3-year total bond limit: \$57.5m (Tranche 1: \$12.5m, Tranche 2: \$45m)

Results over 3 year bond term

	Tranche 1	Tranche 2	Total
Bond Limit	12,500,000	45,000,000	57,500,000
Expected Loss	2,398,450	4,072,400	6,470,850
Attachment Probability	68.6%	44.1%	
Expected Loss as Percentage of Bond Limit	19.2%	9.0%	11.3%
Estimated Risk Margin Mulitplier	1.56	2.20	1.96
Risk Margin	3,746,800	8,941,938	12,688,737



Cost Comparisons



				Option 1			Option 2			Option 3	
			Tranche 1	Tranche 2	Total	Tranche 1	Tranche 2	Total	Tranche 1	Tranche 2	Total
	poq	Bond Limit	12,500,000	45,000,000	57,500,000	12,500,000	47,500,000	60,000,000	12,500,000	45,000,000	57,500,000
	& Flo	Expected Loss	1,499,250	4,489,815	5,989,065	2,398,450	5,226,331	7,624,781	2,398,450	4,072,400	6,470,850
	Haz ake	Expected Loss / Bond Limit	12.0%	10.0%	10.4%	19.2%	11.0%	12.7%	19.2%	9.0%	11.3%
	Two Earthqu	Risk Margin Multiplier	1.93	2.10	2.06	1.56	2.01	1.87	1.56	2.20	1.96
		Estimated Premium	2,897,621	9,432,187	12,329,807	3,746,800	10,503,502	14,250,301	3,746,800	8,941,938	12,688,737
	Ŋ	Bond Limit	7,500,000	35,000,000	42,500,000	10,000,000	33,500,000	43,500,000	10,000,000	32,500,000	42,500,000
	ard: e On	Expected Loss	748,500	1,959,533	2,708,033	1,199,800	2,146,158	3,345,958	1,199,800	2,032,450	3,232,250
	Haz juak	Expected Loss / Bond Limit	10.0%	5.6%	6.4%	12.0%	6.4%	7.7%	12.0%	6.3%	7.6%
	One arthc	Risk Margin Multiplier	2.10	2.73	2.56	1.93	2.57	2.34	1.93	2.60	2.35
	ш	Estimated Premium	1,572,258	5,348,210	6,920,468	2,318,519	5,510,645	7,829,165	2,318,519	5,276,042	7,594,561

- Option 3 provides an optimal balance of cover for flood and earthquake (total bond limit of \$57.5m with a maximum payment per country per event of \$17m) over a period of 3 years until exhaustion
- Premium costs reflects the average three year total cost, single year premium for option 3 is circa \$4.25m
- The annual coverage to premium equivalent is over 13.5 times (\$57.5m to \$4.25m)



Factors Driving Lower Pricing



Attractiveness of ADB as an issuer

- Investors and donors will welcome an alternative issuing platform (currently only World Bank has a platform to issue sovereign cat bonds); introducing competition, increasing issuance frequency and drawing in new potential investors
- The unique DRR features of the DRB bond will also increase ESG investors' appetite (and attract donor interest and support)

The multi-country / multi-hazard nature of the DRB

- Some investors prefer the purity of a single country, single hazard bond, but an innovative multi-country, multi-hazard bond structure will attract many traditional and new investors
- Diversification within a multi-country, multi-hazard bond allows scope to apply an overall bond limit lower than the sum of country and hazard limits, leading to potentially lower pricing (see example opposite)

Leveraging the reinsurance market

- Placing tranche 1 in the reinsurance market would further reduce price
- Buy complementary reinsurance to reinstate the DRB cover once exhausted at relatively cheap costs

Example of Diversification Benefit

- The lower the bond limit for a given expected loss, the lower the risk multiple; and so the lower the price
- The CAREC portfolio is well diversified. For example, a 1 in 100 earthquake in a country in the west of the CAREC region is very unlikely to happen in the same year as a 1 in 100 earthquake in an country in the east.
- Applying a cap covering two or more countries will yield significant cost savings with a very low probability that cover for either country is impacted
- For example: Option 3, tranche 2, earthquake only: if each country had its own earthquake bond the average expected loss (EL) to bond limit ratio would be circa 1.4%; giving a risk multiple of 5.1 using the pricing curve. The modelled multi-country bond, which has a similar expected loss but an EL bond limit ratio of 6.3%, an implied multiple of 2.6 only leading to significantly lower cost



Disclaimer



This analysis has been prepared by Willis Limited for the Asian Development Bank under a Technical Assistance contract.

Willis Limited has relied upon data from public and/or other sources when preparing this analysis. No attempt has been made to verify independently the accuracy of this data. Willis Limited does not represent or otherwise guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data nor assume responsibility for the result of any error or omission in the data or other materials gathered from any source in the preparation of this analysis.

There are many uncertainties inherent in this analysis including, but not limited to, issues such as limitations in the available data, reliance on client data and outside data sources, the underlying volatility of loss and other random processes, uncertainties that characterize the application of professional judgment in estimates and assumptions, etc. Ultimate losses, liabilities and claims depend upon future contingent events, including but not limited to unanticipated changes in inflation, laws, and regulations. As a result of these uncertainties, the actual outcomes could vary significantly from Willis Limited's estimates in either direction. Willis makes no representation about and does not guarantee the outcome, results, success, or profitability of any insurance or reinsurance program or venture, whether or not the analyses or conclusions contained herein apply to such program or venture.

Willis does not recommend making decisions based solely on the information contained in this analysis. Rather, this analysis should be viewed as a supplement to other information, including specific business practice, claims experience, and financial situation. Independent professional advisors should be consulted with respect to the issues and conclusions presented herein and their possible application. Willis makes no representation or warranty as to the accuracy or completeness of this document and its contents.

Willis does not provide legal, accounting, or tax advice. This analysis does not constitute, is not intended to provide, and should not be construed as such advice. Qualified advisers should be consulted in these areas.

Willis makes no representation, does not guarantee and assumes no liability for the accuracy or completeness of, or any results obtained by application of, this analysis and conclusions provided herein.

Acceptance of this document shall be deemed agreement to the above.



22