VIANNEY GEMME, ADB Consultant

The views expressed in this paper are the views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Asian Development Bank (ADB), or its Board of Directors, or the governments they represent. ADB does not guarantee the accuracy of the data included in this paper and accepts no responsibility for any consequences of their use. Terminology used may not necessarily be consistent with ADB official terms.



Risk / Suspicions / Evidence /

Non-Compliance / Offence / Fraud

To assist the Customs Officers with the definition and difference between the different level of:

- Risk / Suspicions / Evidence
- Non-Compliance / Offence / Fraud
- and their various elements.



- The Officer with the Intelligence Analysts may reach conclusions and highlight the elements, to alert Customs of the "Risk"
- We do not have to "prove" the risk with hard core evidence but rather we should demonstrate and report the probabilities of events that may happen, based on logical justification.
- Ex. History, stats, "reliable" information,... etc.



- Are based on a rational / verbalised justification
- of activities that have happen / are taking place / will be happening;
- We may base our suspicions partly on a good risk assessment followed by the results of our inquiries;
- will form part of our justification for enforcement actions... for detection or to help prove the offence once uncovered.



- Facts, tangible evidence, papers such as the true invoices, double invoicing, shipping or packing, etc,
- actions of the perpetrator, admission or the version given compared with the findings of the officer,
- the discovery of the hidden good, or, by taking pictures of the concealed compartment, etc.
- The elements of an offence, the facts that need to be established to allege an offence are: -



To establish:

- Who Person / Where Place / When Date & time / What – the action (offence)
- Actus Reus The guilty act. / the <u>intention</u> to evade controls.
- Mens Rea The guilty knowledge...of the prohibition and restriction.
- Contrary to what Law...



- In the Customs environment
- we deal mostly with: "physical", "documentary" or "testimony" evidence.
- "Circumstances" should form part of our reports to describe the situation but are not really evidence per say / themselves.



"administrative irregularities" / "non-conformity" to a rule:

- No revenue is involved. / action or more often an omission to avoid conforming to a rule, such as:
- not producing the proper forms, not providing the required detailed information, not respecting the timedelays established,
- not presenting oneself at a control point, etc.
- Non compliances are usually addressed by educating the client <u>or</u> subject to warning <u>or</u> when serious enough punishable by an administrative fine / penalty.



- by commission or omission,
- to avoid proper duties and taxes or to obtain falsely a refund.
- revenue involved /or, prohibited goods /or, by not producing permits or similar serious requirements
- usually punishable by monetary fine / by seizure /confiscation of the goods (all administrative process)
- <u>or</u> when required by prosecution (criminal procedures)



- knowingly commits a "Customs offence" but more also uses a scheme to defraud a victim (Customs)
- by misrepresentation, substantial and/or repetitive <u>causing a lost</u> of revenue (a damage is caused)
- Customs is an <u>unaware and has the right to</u> <u>believe the representation</u> made / **Customs is a victim**) Customs was not blindly negligent;
- "Fraud" is a distinctive criminal charge which requires to be supported by a much higher/detailed level of evidence than "offence".